

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL A. LUJAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN HIXON, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:24-cv-00187-KES-SAB (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING IN PART FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND  
DISMISSING ACTION WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE

Doc. 17

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

Plaintiff filed the instant action on August 31, 2023 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. On February 8, 2024, the action was transferred to this Court. Doc. 11. On March 14, 2024, the magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims, and granted plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint.<sup>1</sup> Doc. 14. Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint. On April 23, 2024, the magistrate judge issued an order to show cause as to why the action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with a court order, and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. Doc. 15. Plaintiff

---

<sup>1</sup> The March 14, 2024 order was returned as "Undeliverable, Inactive, Not Deliverable as Addressed." See Docket. Plaintiff never filed a notice of change of address.

1 did not file a response to the order to show cause.

2 On May 20, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations  
3 recommending dismissal of the action for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with a court  
4 order, and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. Doc. 17. The findings and  
5 recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were  
6 to be filed within fourteen days after service. *Id.* at 13. Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the  
7 time to do so has passed.

8 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de  
9 novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings  
10 and recommendations as to plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to obey court orders are  
11 supported by the record and by proper analysis.

12 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 13 1. The findings and recommendations issued May 20, 2024, Doc. 17, are ADOPTED IN  
14 PART;  
15 2. This matter is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure to  
16 comply with a court order; and  
17 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

18  
19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: March 5, 2025

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE